

# TURI SURFACE SOLUTIONS LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2012-20-352-2-4-  
 Date Run: 11/7/2012  
 Experimenters: Geng; Le;  
 Client Type: 17  
 Project Number: 1  
 Substrates: Glass/Quartz; Chrome;  
 Part Type: 2  
 Contaminants: Films; Soaps;  
 Cleaning Methods: Manual Wipe;  
 Analytical Methods: Gravimetric; Visual;  
 Purpose: To evaluate the supplied tablet product for glass cleaning using manual wiping.  
 Experimental Procedure: The supplied product was diluted with water to the requested dilution (1.5 grams/16 ounces). A comparative product was used at the ready-to-use concentration.

Pre-weighed chrome and three glass coupons were coated with SSL Soil 2 (Glass soap scum: Water 51.5%, Hair gel 25.6%, Toothpaste 10.4%, Shaving cream 5.3%, Hair spray 3.7% and Spray deodorant 3.5%) using a hand held swab and allowed to dry for 24 hours at room temperature. The contaminated coupons were weighed again to determine the amount of soil added.

Three coupons were placed into a Gardner Straight Line Washability unit. A Wypall X60 reinforced wipe was attached to the cleaning sled and soaked with 1-2 sprays of cleaning solutions. Each coupon was sprayed 2-3 times with the same cleaning solution. The solution was allowed to penetrate for 30 seconds followed by cleaning in the SLW unit for 5 cycles (~10 seconds). At the end of the cleaning, coupons were wiped once with a dry paper towel. Final weights were recorded and efficiencies recorded. Visual observations were made on the coupons for spotting and filming following the general guidelines set forth in the CSPA DCC 09A. Filming is best recognized as "haziness" or overall "milkyiness", while streaking is best identified as dried droplets or "spotting", usually found strung together into thin white lines. Each coupon was evaluated separately for filming and streaking, (i.e., product residues without added soil), according to a scale of "1" to "7" with;

Filming	Streaking
7 = high filming	7 = high streaking poor (performance)
1 = no visible filming	1 = no visible streaking (excellent performance)

Chemistries Evaluated: DAZZ Glass Cleaning Tablet; Windex;

Results: Both products removed more than 85% of the glass soap scum using manual cleaning. The on-the-market product was slightly better at reducing any filming on the surface than the supplied product. Both had equal ratings for streaking. Filming had the supplied product at 3.2 and the on-the-market product at 2.8. Both products were rated on average at 3.6 for streaking on the 7 point scale. The first table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining and the efficiency for each coupon cleaned. The second table lists the ratings made for filming and streaking.

Cleaner	Initial wt	Final wt	% Removed
DAZZ - mirror			
	0.0153	0.0018	88.24
	0.0073	0.0026	64.38
	0.0152	0.0028	81.58
DAZZ - glass			
	0.0153	0.0019	87.58
	0.0124	0.0006	95.16
	0.0101	0.0001	99.01
DAZZ - chrome			
	0.0161	0.0021	86.96
	0.0185	0.0024	87.03
	0.0281	0.0024	91.46
Windex - mirror			
	0.0191	0.0040	79.06
	0.0136	0.0027	80.15
	0.0087	0.0024	72.41
Windex - glass			
	0.0208	0.0014	93.27
	0.0217	0.0036	83.41
	0.0112	0.0006	94.64
Windex - chrome			
	0.0177	0.0025	85.88
	0.0365	0.0026	92.88
	0.0373	0.0035	90.62

Filming	Observer									Coupon Ave	Product Ave
Coupon	A			B			C				
1A	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4.1	3.2
1B	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1.7	
1C	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3.9	
2A	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	2.6	2.8
2B	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2.1	

2C	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3.7
Streaking Observer										
Coupon	A			B			C			
1A	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4.3
1B	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	1.9
1C	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4.4
2A	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4.3
2B	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2.7
2C	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3.7

Summary

**Substrates:** Glass/Quartz; Chrome;

**Contaminants:** Films; Soaps;

<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name</b>	<b>Conc.</b>	<b>Efficiency</b>	<b>Effective</b>	<b>Observations</b>
Sunstate Laboratories LLC	DAZZ Glass tablet	1.5 g/16/oz	86.82	Yes	Filming - 3.2; Streaking 3.6
SC Johnson & Son Inc	Windex	100	85.81	Yes	Filming - 2.8; Streaking 3.6

Conclusion:

The supplied tablet glass cleaner worked as well as the on-the-market product for soil removal and streaking and was only marginal less in the amount of filming left behind.